Spring 2015 Recommendations from the Business and Operations Advisory Committee

Spring 2015 recomme	iluacions iroin cir	e Business and Operations Advisory Committee	Date of			Explanation/	Fiscal	
Title	Meeting Date	Recommendation	Mtg	NSF Contact	Status	Outcome	Year	Theme
THE STATE OF THE S	meeting Date	Engagement with the labor union is urged as it is critical to successful	6	Tio. Comunic	Jeacus	- Cuttoniie	· cu.	
Change Management	Spring 2015	change management.	2015 Spring		Closed		FY15	Change Management; Human Resources
		Senior management must set the tone from the top and be visible and			2.0000			
NSF Relocation	Spring 2015	actively engaged.	2015 Spring		Closed		FY15	Change Management; Human Resources
NSF Relocation	Spring 2015	Communications must be two-way, transparent and ongoing.	2015 Spring		In Progress		FY15	Change Management; Human Resources
	, 0	Use GSA experience in project management.	, ,					
NSF Relocation	Spring 2015		2015 Spring		Closed		FY15	Advice on OIRM Operations; Change Management;
		Consider hiring temporary runners/maintenance to mitigate the						Advice on OIRM Operations; Change Management; Human
NSF Relocation	Spring 2015	challenges of being in a new building where lack of familiarity is pervasive	2015 Spring		Open		FY15	Resources;Other
		Solutions to the daycare and sparse food service options should be a						
NSF Relocation	Spring 2015	priority	2015 Spring		Closed		FY15	Advice on BFA Operations; Strategic Planning & Performance
NAPA Report on Large		As NSF awaits NAPA's report the agency should contemplate the following considerations: • How does NSF define and measure cooperative agreement success? Tools such as portfolio analysis can assess if project is on schedule and on budget and whether projects have delivered near expectations. • How does NSF engage/leverage the active user committees of operating facilities? Facilities' scientists are hiring project management staff, but NSF is the steward and facilities are monitored very carefully to determine if they are scientifically viable. • One cooperative agreement may not fit all. The Committee hopes that NAPA is considering that a cooperative agreement may need to be very unique with specific provisions appropriate for the particular facility it supports. • Paying attention to the front-end of the cooperative agreement is important to yield discovery. NSF and the awardee must define, in measurable terms, what the facility is to accomplish. While NSF understands that facilities are built to enable scientific discovery, NSF must work with facility teams, prior to the final award of funds, to understand the model of service delivery for the proposed scientific facility. NSF must work with facility scientists to clearly define: 1. a facility's or project's scientific goals 2. the processes that will be used to manage the project during the construction and, separately, during operations phases; and						
Facilities	Spring 2015		2015 Spring		Open		FY15	Large Facilities
		3. the set of quantitative and qualitative performance metrics NSF will use						
		to evaluate performance against design criteria and the quality of the user						
		experience. The Committee appreciated the CEOSE presentation and supported the						
		initiatives described by Alicia Knoedler such as, leveraging the relationship						
		between CEOSE and NSF's priority goals, integrating these issues						
		throughout NSF, naming a CEOSE liaison to the Industrial Research						
		Institute group, increasing the number of underrepresented minorities in						
CEOSE Report	Spring 2015	NSF activities.	2015 Spring		Closed		FY15	Broadening Participation
noport	-p6 =010				2.0000			